Major Maintenance Funding Proposal

Date:

Name of Unit:

Originator's Name:

Each project is to be numerically scored by the originator, based upon the technical nature of the project and by its impact. Lower scores are higher priorities.

Nature of Project: Highest Priority = 1; Critical = 2; Potentially Critical = 3; Advisable = 4; New Installations = 5

Impact: Higher = 1; Medium = 2; Lower = 3

	Description of Major Maintenance Project	Ranking			Approximate Cost Estimate					
		[A]	[B]	[A] x [B]						
	Provide a title followed by a brief description and background; reference Replacement Guidelines where appropriate	Nature of Project 1 - 5	Impact 1 - 3	Total Score	2013-15	2014-16	2015-17	2016-18	2017-19	Total
	SUPPLEMENTAL									
1.				0						$ -
2.				0						$ -
3.				0						$ -
4.				0						$ -
5.				0						$ -
6.				0						$ -
7.				0						$ -
8.				0						$ -
9.				0						$ -
10.				0						$ -
	SUBTOTAL: SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING PROJECTS				$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
	LIFECYCLE/ROUTINE REPLACEMENT									
11.				0						$ -
12.				0						$ -
13.				0						$ -
14.				0						$ -
15.				0						$ -
16.				0						$ -
17.				0						$ -
18.				0						$ -
19.				0						$ -
20.				0						$ -
	SUBTOTAL: LIFECYCLE FUNDING PROJECTS				$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
	GRAND TOTAL MAJOR MAINTENANCE PROPOSAL				$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -